Kluwer Trademark Blog

USA: Solid 21, Inc. v. Hublot of America, United States Court of Appeals, Ninth Circuit, No. 15-56036, 24 March 2017

Peter Reap (Wolters Kluwer Legal & Regulatory US) · Wednesday, April 5th, 2017

A federal district court in Los Angeles erred in concluding that Solid 21's registered word mark RED GOLD was invalid because the mark was a generic term for jewelry items that were made of red gold, the U.S. Court of Appeals in San Francisco has decided. The lower court erred in excluding testimony from Solid 21's expert linguist and proffered customer declarations, the appellate court found. With this additional evidence, Solid 21 demonstrated a triable issue of material fact as to the genericness of the mark, and thus, the district court's ruling was reversed and remanded (Solid 21, Inc. v. Hublot of America, March 24, 2017, per curiam).

A full summary of this case has been published on Kluwer IP Law.

Kluwer IP Law

The **2022 Future Ready Lawyer survey** showed that 79% of lawyers think that the importance of legal technology will increase for next year. With Kluwer IP Law you can navigate the increasingly global practice of IP law with specialized, local and cross-border information and tools from every preferred location. Are you, as an IP professional, ready for the future?

Learn how Kluwer IP Law can support you.

To make sure you do not miss out on regular updates from the Kluwer Trademark Blog, please subscribe here.

79% of the lawyers think that the importance of legal technology will increase for next year.

Drive change with Kluwer IP Law. The master resource for Intellectual Property rights and registration.





2022 SURVEY REPORT The Wolters Kluwer Future Ready Lawyer Leading change



This entry was posted on Wednesday, April 5th, 2017 at 10:30 am and is filed under Case law, United States

You can follow any responses to this entry through the Comments (RSS) feed. You can leave a response, or trackback from your own site.