Kluwer Trademark Blog

USA: EarthGrains baking Companies, Inc. v. Sycamore, United States Court of Appeals, Tenth Circuit, No. 15-4145, 10 October 2017

Peter Reap (Wolters Kluwer Legal & Regulatory US) · Thursday, October 26th, 2017

The federal district court in Salt Lake City did not err in terminating Leland Sycamore's rights under a trademark license agreement (TLA) that granted him the right to use the "Grandma Sycamore's Home Maid Bread" and "Grandma Sycamore's Home Maid Bread Design" trademarks (the Sycamore marks), or in the scope of a permanent injunction it awarded to EarthGrains Baking Companies, that permanently enjoined Sycamore from using the Sycamore marks nationwide, the U.S. Court of Appeals in Denver has decided. Sycamore's Lanham Act violations of trademark infringement and unfair competition provided an independent basis for the injunction granted by the district court, and Sycamore's arguments on appeal to the contrary were without merit (EarthGrains baking Companies, Inc. v. Sycamore, October 10, 2017, Holmes, J.).

A full summary of this case has been published on Kluwer IP Law.

To make sure you do not miss out on regular updates from the Kluwer Trademark Blog, please subscribe here.

Kluwer IP Law


The **2022 Future Ready Lawyer survey** showed that 79% of lawyers think that the importance of legal technology will increase for next year. With Kluwer IP Law you can navigate the increasingly global practice of IP law with specialized, local and cross-border information and tools from every preferred location. Are you, as an IP professional, ready for the future?

Learn how Kluwer IP Law can support you.

79% of the lawyers think that the importance of legal technology will increase for next year.


Drive change with Kluwer IP Law.

The master resource for Intellectual Property rights and registration.



2022 SURVEY REPORT
The Wolters Kluwer Future Ready Lawyer
Leading change







This entry was posted on Thursday, October 26th, 2017 at 9:55 am and is filed under Case law, United States

You can follow any responses to this entry through the Comments (RSS) feed. You can leave a response, or trackback from your own site.