Kluwer Trademark Blog

Trademark case: In Re: Halo Leather Ltd., USA

Cheryl Beise (Wolters Kluwer Legal & Regulatory US) · Tuesday, June 26th, 2018

Substantial evidence supported the Trademark Trial and Appeal Board's finding that the mark "AQUAPEL" and design for leather and imitation leather hides, furniture covers, and various home goods was confusingly similar to the mark "AQUAPEL," registered in standard characters, for different types of home goods, the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit has held. The marks were confusingly similar and the goods were so highly related as to be expected to emanate from a single source (In Re: Halo Leather Ltd., June 13, 2018, per curiam).

Case date: 13 June 2018 Case number: No. 17-1849 Court: United States Court of Appeals, Federal Circuit

A full summary of this case has been published on Kluwer IP Law.

Kluwer IP Law

The **2022 Future Ready Lawyer survey** showed that 79% of lawyers think that the importance of legal technology will increase for next year. With Kluwer IP Law you can navigate the increasingly global practice of IP law with specialized, local and cross-border information and tools from every preferred location. Are you, as an IP professional, ready for the future?

Learn how Kluwer IP Law can support you.

1

To make sure you do not miss out on regular updates from the Kluwer Trademark Blog, please subscribe here.

79% of the lawyers think that the importance of legal technology will increase for next year.

Drive change with Kluwer IP Law. The master resource for Intellectual Property rights and registration.





2022 SURVEY REPORT The Wolters Kluwer Future Ready Lawyer Leading change



This entry was posted on Tuesday, June 26th, 2018 at 2:29 pm and is filed under Case law, United States

You can follow any responses to this entry through the Comments (RSS) feed. You can leave a response, or trackback from your own site.