Kluwer Trademark Blog

Brexit: Assurances on preservation of rights

Julius Stobbs (Stobbs IP) and Cameron Malone-Brown (Potter Clarkson LLP) · Tuesday, July 31st, 2018

After many months of uncertainty, we have now received a degree of assurance as to the manner in which EUTM and RCD rights will persist in the UK following Brexit.

This assurance has come from Robin Walker, the Under-Secretary of State for Exiting the European Union, in a recent Parliamentary debate:

UK-owned trademarks and design rights in the EU27 will be unaffected by our withdrawal. Meanwhile, we have agreed to protect all existing EU trademarks, community-registered designs and unregistered designs in the UK as we leave the EU. In place of those EU-level rights, 1.5 million new UK trademarks and registered designs will be granted automatically and for free. The creative industries can therefore be confident that their existing intellectual property rights will not be diminished, and that the UK will remain one of the best places in the world to protect and enforce IP rights.

Whilst this leaves a large number of questions unanswered, it serves as a positive step towards clarity for the brand owner. As discussed previously, one option for the post-Brexit protection of the unitary rights is indeed an automatic generation of an equivalent UK right. There are a number of potential issues with this positon, including but not limited to, the owner of the new UK right having no use to support the registration in the UK, pending applications which are mid-examination incurring parallel examination, marks which may not have obtained registration in the UK sidestepping the examination requirements and so on, *ad brexitum*.

The process by which these rights will be generated is, seemingly, *automatic*. Clear positives of such a system is the minimal administrative burden for the rights holder, as well as the immediate continuation of protection in the UK. One key issue which such an automatic system may generate is with regards to non-use, as mentioned above. If the owner of an EUTM has maintained said registration based on use which has not taken place in the UK, their automatically generated UK equivalent right will immediately be subject to cancellation for non-use. This could be addressed by beginning a new 5 year period of non-use invulnerability, however, it feels undesirable to have

1

the UK register flooded with unused trade marks, which are invulnerable in this manner.

Non-use is just one question which remains, in light of this recent clarification. It is noted, however, that the minutiae of a possible arrangement is inappropriate for discussion in a debate of this kind. The details must presumably be considered by those with extensive expertise in the area, rather than those directly responsible for the overarching withdrawal agreement. Nonetheless, it is a welcome development that protection of intellectual property is being actively considered at this stage.

To make sure you do not miss out on regular updates from the Kluwer Trademark Blog, please subscribe here.


Kluwer IP Law

The **2022 Future Ready Lawyer survey** showed that 79% of lawyers think that the importance of legal technology will increase for next year. With Kluwer IP Law you can navigate the increasingly global practice of IP law with specialized, local and cross-border information and tools from every preferred location. Are you, as an IP professional, ready for the future?

Learn how Kluwer IP Law can support you.

79% of the lawyers think that the importance of legal technology will increase for next year.

Drive change with Kluwer IP Law. The master resource for Intellectual Property rights and registration.





2022 SURVEY REPORT The Wolters Kluwer Future Ready Lawyer Leading change



This entry was posted on Tuesday, July 31st, 2018 at 8:34 pm and is filed under Brexit You can follow any responses to this entry through the Comments (RSS) feed. You can leave a response, or trackback from your own site. 3