## **Kluwer Trademark Blog**

## Trademark case: Comite Fiestas De La Calle San Sebastian Inc. v. Carmen Yulin Cruz Soto, USA

Robert Margolis (Wolters Kluwer Legal & Regulatory US) · Thursday, June 13th, 2019

District court correctly held that trademark owner failed to raise genuine factual issue as to secondary meaning of the asserted mark.

A federal district court correctly found that a non-profit corporation that promotes and helps run the annual Fiestas de le Calle San Sebastian festival in San Juan, Puerto Rico lacked evidence to sustain its trademark infringement and other claims against the city and its mayor, the U.S. Court of Appeals in Boston has held. The appellate court affirmed the lower court's summary judgment order dismissing all of the corporation's claims arising out of what the corporation contended was political retribution exacted by the mayor against it (Comite Fiestas De La Calle San Sebastian, Inc. v. Carmen Yulin Cruz Soto, May 29, 2019, Kayatta, W.).

Case date: 29 May 2019 Case number: No. 17-1723. Court: United States Court of Appeals, First Circuit

A full summary of this case has been published on Kluwer IP Law.

## Kluwer IP Law

The **2022 Future Ready Lawyer survey** showed that 79% of lawyers think that the importance of legal technology will increase for next year. With Kluwer IP Law you can navigate the increasingly global practice of IP law with specialized, local and cross-border information and tools from every preferred location. Are you, as an IP professional, ready for the future?

Learn how **Kluwer IP Law** can support you.

1

To make sure you do not miss out on regular updates from the Kluwer Trademark Blog, please subscribe here.

79% of the lawyers think that the importance of legal technology will increase for next year.

**Drive change with Kluwer IP Law.** The master resource for Intellectual Property rights and registration.





2022 SURVEY REPORT The Wolters Kluwer Future Ready Lawyer Leading change



This entry was posted on Thursday, June 13th, 2019 at 11:01 am and is filed under Case law, Infringement, United States

You can follow any responses to this entry through the Comments (RSS) feed. You can leave a response, or trackback from your own site.