Kluwer Trademark Blog

Trademark case: North 61 LLC v. Sjoklaedagerdin Hf., USA

Kevin M. Finson (Wolters Kluwer Legal & Regulatory US) · Tuesday, January 19th, 2021

The applied-for mark NORTH 61 was properly refused because it produced a similar commercial impression to the mark 66° NORTH when both were used for apparel and retail services.

The proposed mark NORTH 61 was confusingly similar to the registered mark 66° NORTH, the Federal Circuit has held, affirming a decision of the Trademark Trial and Appeal Board upholding a USPTO examiner's refusal of an application to register the proposed mark. Both marks were used for apparel and related retail services (North 61 LLC v. Sjoklaedagerdin Hf., January 13, 2021, Lourie, A.).

Case date: 13 January 2021 Case number: No. 20-1709 Court: United States Court of Appeals, Federal Circuit

A full summary of this case has been published on Kluwer IP Law.

Kluwer IP Law

The **2022 Future Ready Lawyer survey** showed that 79% of lawyers think that the importance of legal technology will increase for next year. With Kluwer IP Law you can navigate the increasingly global practice of IP law with specialized, local and cross-border information and tools from every preferred location. Are you, as an IP professional, ready for the future?

Learn how Kluwer IP Law can support you.

1

To make sure you do not miss out on regular updates from the Kluwer Trademark Blog, please subscribe here.

79% of the lawyers think that the importance of legal technology will increase for next year.

Drive change with Kluwer IP Law. The master resource for Intellectual Property rights and registration.





2022 SURVEY REPORT The Wolters Kluwer Future Ready Lawyer Leading change



This entry was posted on Tuesday, January 19th, 2021 at 5:08 pm and is filed under Case law, United States

You can follow any responses to this entry through the Comments (RSS) feed. You can leave a response, or trackback from your own site.