
Kluwer Trademark Blog

USA: Parks, LLC v. Tyson Foods, Inc, United States Court of Appeals, Third Circuit, No. 16-2768, 06 July 2017

Peter Reap (Wolters Kluwer Legal & Regulatory US) · Friday, August 4th, 2017

Lanham Act claims for false advertising and trademark infringement brought by Sausage company Parks, asserting its PARKS mark for sausage against Tyson Foods and Hillshire Brands Company (collectively, Tyson), were without merit, the U.S. Court of Appeals in Philadelphia has decided, affirming a ruling by a federal district court. Parks did not state a valid claim for false advertising because it made no valid allegations concerning the “nature, characteristic, qualities, or geographic origin” of PARK’S FINEST, the accused mark adopted and used by the defendants. Moreover, Parks failed to prove its false association claim because no reasonable jury could have concluded that the PARKS mark had secondary meaning (Parks, LLC v. Tyson Foods, Inc., July 6, 2017, Jordan, K.).

A full summary of this case has been published on [Kluwer IP Law](#).

To make sure you do not miss out on regular updates from the Kluwer Trademark Blog, please [subscribe here](#).

Kluwer IP Law

The **2022 Future Ready Lawyer survey** showed that 79% of lawyers think that the importance of legal technology will increase for next year. With Kluwer IP Law you can navigate the increasingly global practice of IP law with specialized, local and cross-border information and tools from every preferred location. Are you, as an IP professional, ready for the future?

Learn how **Kluwer IP Law** can support you.


79% of the lawyers think that the importance of legal technology will increase for next year.

Drive change with Kluwer IP Law.

The master resource for Intellectual Property rights and registration.




2022 SURVEY REPORT
The Wolters Kluwer Future Ready Lawyer
Leading change



Experience how the renewed *Manual IP* enables you to work more efficiently



[Learn more →](#)



This entry was posted on Friday, August 4th, 2017 at 3:30 pm and is filed under [Case law](#), [United States](#)

You can follow any responses to this entry through the [Comments \(RSS\)](#) feed. You can leave a response, or [trackback](#) from your own site.