
Kluwer Trademark Blog

USA: In re North Carolina Lottery, United States Court of Appeals, Federal Circuit, No. 2016-2558, 10 August 2017

Mark Engstrom (Wolters Kluwer Legal & Regulatory US) · Tuesday, September 26th, 2017

The Trademark Trial and Appeal Board did not err in refusing to register the trademark FIRST TUESDAY for lottery games and services, the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit has ruled. According to the court, the mark described a feature of the applicant's goods and services: scratch-off lottery tickets that the applicant (the North Carolina Lottery) offered on the first Tuesday of every month. Consequently, the mark was "merely descriptive" and thus not eligible for registration absent "acquired distinctiveness." Because the applicant did not argue that the mark had acquired distinctiveness through secondary meaning, the refusal to register was affirmed (In re North Carolina Lottery, August 10, 2017, Prost, S.).

A full summary of this case has been published on [Kluwer IP Law](#).

To make sure you do not miss out on regular updates from the Kluwer Trademark Blog, please [subscribe here](#).

Kluwer IP Law

The **2022 Future Ready Lawyer survey** showed that 79% of lawyers think that the importance of legal technology will increase for next year. With Kluwer IP Law you can navigate the increasingly global practice of IP law with specialized, local and cross-border information and tools from every preferred location. Are you, as an IP professional, ready for the future?

Learn how **Kluwer IP Law** can support you.


79% of the lawyers think that the importance of legal technology will increase for next year.

Drive change with Kluwer IP Law.

The master resource for Intellectual Property rights and registration.




2022 SURVEY REPORT
The Wolters Kluwer Future Ready Lawyer
Leading change



Experience how the renewed *Manual IP* enables you to work more efficiently



[Learn more →](#)



This entry was posted on Tuesday, September 26th, 2017 at 2:35 pm and is filed under [Case law](#), [United States](#)

You can follow any responses to this entry through the [Comments \(RSS\)](#) feed. You can leave a response, or [trackback](#) from your own site.