## Kluwer Trademark Blog

## **Happy New Rules Hungary 2019!**

Aron Laszlo (Oppenheim Legal) · Friday, December 28th, 2018



The Hungarian Parliament passed Act LXVII of 2018 (the 'Amending Act), which is bringing about the most fundamental changes to trademark law since Hungary's accession to the EU. The amendments will enter into force as of 1 January 2019.

In a historical contect, Act XI of 1997 on Trademarks and Geographical Indications established modern trademark law in Hungary. This was amended by Act CII of 2003, which implemented EU law and introduced further significant changes, for instance introducing oppositions and abolishing ex officio searches.

First and foremost, the Amending Act implements the rules of the new EU directive (Directive (EU) 2015/2436 of 16 December 2015), which are well known to the readers of this blog. However, many further changes have been introduced into Hungarian trademark law in the wake of the implementation:

- Prior non-registered trademarks need not be essentially identical with the trademark application in order to apply as a ground for refusal. The former clumsy translation of the Directive in the Trademark Act was interpreted by the courts in a way that this ground for refusal only applied in case of the identity of the sings. Thus, if the trademark application was only similar to and not identical with the prior non-registered trademark, the trademark application was not refused. Therefore, in cases of similarity, the exclusion ground based on *6bis* of the Paris Union Agreement (well-known mark) was used instead.
- The register shall expressly indicate the date on which the grace period for non-use starts.
- In opposition proceedings, a cooling-off period of 2 to 4 months shall be provided upon a joint request of the parties.
- No oral hearing shall be held in opposition proceedings, unless upon a joint request of the parties or if the office otherwise considers it necessary.
- No oral hearing shall be held in revocation proceedings, where the trademark owner does not file a written defence statement.
- A surcharge shall apply in case of late renewals.
- Many procedural deadlines have been shortened.
- New grounds for refusal shall not affect registered rights until the next renewal deadline. In case
  of cancellation by virtue of a new ground for refusal, the date of cancellation shall be the date of
  renewal.
- The fee structure is expected to change but the respective ministerial decree has not been published yet.

It shall also be noted that after excessive discusions, bad faith has been retained as a ground for refusal. Therefore, unlike before the EUIPO, observations may be filed claiming bad faith on the side of the applicant.

For those, who read Hungarian, the text of the Amending Act is available here: https://net.jogtar.hu/jogszabaly?docid=A1800067.TV&timeshift=fffffff4&txtreferer=00000001.TX T

To make sure you do not miss out on regular updates from the Kluwer Trademark Blog, please subscribe here.

## Kluwer IP Law


The **2022 Future Ready Lawyer survey** showed that 79% of lawyers think that the importance of legal technology will increase for next year. With Kluwer IP Law you can navigate the increasingly global practice of IP law with specialized, local and cross-border information and tools from every preferred location. Are you, as an IP professional, ready for the future?

Learn how Kluwer IP Law can support you.

79% of the lawyers think that the importance of legal technology will increase for next year.

## Drive change with Kluwer IP Law.

The master resource for Intellectual Property rights and registration.



2022 SURVEY REPORT
The Wolters Kluwer Future Ready Lawyer



Experience how the renewed *Manual IP* enables you to work more efficiently



Learn more →



This entry was posted on Friday, December 28th, 2018 at 10:07 am and is filed under Trademark You can follow any responses to this entry through the Comments (RSS) feed. You can leave a response, or trackback from your own site.