

---

# Kluwer Trademark Blog

## Trademark case: Cervejaria Petropolis SA v. Ambev S.A., USA

Jeffrey H. Brochin · Tuesday, October 15th, 2019

Single display of trademark on race car and jumpsuit did not establish intent to use the mark for the registered product.

In a non-precedential decision, the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit has affirmed a decision of the Trademark Trial and Appeal Board (TTAB) that the mark FUSION had been abandoned by Brazil-based beverage company Cervejaria Petropolis SA (“CP”), the party which had acquired the mark in October 2011. Although CP recorded its acquisition of the FUSION mark with the USPTO, it failed to establish use or intent to resume use during three consecutive years. Therefore the TTAB was correct in granting the petition of competitor Ambev S.A. to cancel the mark due to abandonment (*Cervejaria Petropolis SA v. Ambev S.A.*, October 10, 2019, Wallach, E.).

Case date: 10 October 2019

Case number: No. 2019-1132

Court: United States Court of Appeals, Federal Circuit

A full summary of this case has been published on [Kluwer IP Law](#).

---

*To make sure you do not miss out on regular updates from the Kluwer Trademark Blog, please [subscribe here](#).*

## Kluwer IP Law

The **2022 Future Ready Lawyer survey** showed that 79% of lawyers think that the importance of legal technology will increase for next year. With Kluwer IP Law you can navigate the increasingly global practice of IP law with specialized, local and cross-border information and tools from every preferred location. Are you, as an IP professional, ready for the future?

Learn how **Kluwer IP Law** can support you.

---


79% of the lawyers think that the importance of legal technology will increase for next year.

**Drive change with Kluwer IP Law.**

The master resource for Intellectual Property rights and registration.



2022 SURVEY REPORT  
The Wolters Kluwer Future Ready Lawyer  
Leading change



---

Experience how the renewed *Manual IP* enables you to work more efficiently



[Learn more →](#)



This entry was posted on Tuesday, October 15th, 2019 at 9:55 am and is filed under [Case law](#), [United States](#)

You can follow any responses to this entry through the [Comments \(RSS\)](#) feed. You can leave a response, or [trackback](#) from your own site.