
Kluwer Trademark Blog

Trademark case: Piccone v. USPTO, USA

Jody Coultas (CCH) · Monday, December 9th, 2019

The attorney was unable to show that the USPTO's decision was arbitrary or capricious.

The U.S. Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit affirmed a decision to dismiss an attorney's challenge of his bar license suspension as the attorney's procedural and substantive challenges to the United States Patent and Trademark Office's disciplinary proceeding were rejected (Piccone v. USPTO, November 20, 2019, per curiam).

Case date: 20 November 2019

Case number: No. 2019-1471

Court: United States Court of Appeals, Federal Circuit

A full summary of this case has been published on [Kluwer IP Law](#).

To make sure you do not miss out on regular updates from the Kluwer Trademark Blog, please [subscribe here](#).

Kluwer IP Law

The **2022 Future Ready Lawyer survey** showed that 79% of lawyers think that the importance of legal technology will increase for next year. With Kluwer IP Law you can navigate the increasingly global practice of IP law with specialized, local and cross-border information and tools from every preferred location. Are you, as an IP professional, ready for the future?

Learn how **Kluwer IP Law** can support you.

79% of the lawyers think that the importance of legal technology will increase for next year.

Drive change with Kluwer IP Law.

The master resource for Intellectual Property rights and registration.



2022 SURVEY REPORT
The Wolters Kluwer Future Ready Lawyer
Leading change



Experience how the renewed **Manual IP** enables you to work more efficiently



[Learn more →](#)



This entry was posted on Monday, December 9th, 2019 at 4:52 pm and is filed under [Case law](#), [United States](#)

You can follow any responses to this entry through the [Comments \(RSS\)](#) feed. You can leave a response, or [trackback](#) from your own site.