Kluwer Trademark Blog

Trademark case: V.V.V. & Sons Edible Oils Ltd. v. Meenakshi Overseas LLC, USA

Joseph Arshawsky · Thursday, January 23rd, 2020

A district court should not have applied the doctrine of claims preclusion in the primary dispute over the mark IDHAYAM, based on a prior TTAB proceeding, but the mark owner waived its claims as to two other trademarks.

Infringement claims by V.V.V. & Sons Edible Oils ("VVV") over a competing company's use of the mark IDHAYAM for sesame oil were improperly dismissed by a federal district court on grounds of claims preclusion, the U.S. Court of Appeals in San Francisco has held. Because the Trademark Trial and Appeal Board, in its adjudication of an opposition proceeding between the same parties, had no power to decide VVV's claims of infringement, dilution, and unfair competition, or to grant injunctive relief or damages, it would be unfair to preclude VVV from litigating these claims. The appellate court reversed the district court with respect to that claim and remanded for further proceedings. However, as to two other marks also featuring the word IDHAYAM, VVV failed to file an opposition to the defending company's motion to dismiss and therefore waived its claims (V.V.V. & Sons Edible Oils Ltd. v. Meenakshi Overseas, LLC, December 27, 2019, Nelson, R.).

Case date: 27 December 2019 Case number: No. 18-16071 Court: United States Court of Appeals, Ninth Circuit

A full summary of this case has been published on Kluwer IP Law.

Kluwer IP Law

The 2022 Future Ready Lawyer survey showed that 79% of lawyers think that the importance of

1

To make sure you do not miss out on regular updates from the Kluwer Trademark Blog, please subscribe here.

legal technology will increase for next year. With Kluwer IP Law you can navigate the increasingly global practice of IP law with specialized, local and cross-border information and tools from every preferred location. Are you, as an IP professional, ready for the future?

Learn how Kluwer IP Law can support you.



This entry was posted on Thursday, January 23rd, 2020 at 1:09 pm and is filed under Case law, United States

You can follow any responses to this entry through the Comments (RSS) feed. You can leave a response, or trackback from your own site.