
Kluwer Trademark Blog

Trademark case: Neutron Depot LLC v. Bankrate Inc., USA

Brian Craig (Wolters Kluwer Legal & Regulatory US) · Tuesday, February 11th, 2020

An exclusive licensee that did not own the mark outright when the suit began lacked standing to sue for infringement.

An exclusive licensee of a trademark when a trademark infringement suit began—which only became the owner of the mark mid-litigation—lacked standing to bring a trademark infringement action, the U.S. Court of Appeals in New Orleans has held. In affirming the federal district court’s dismissal of Neutron Depot’s suit alleging infringement of the INSURANCE DEPOT mark against Bankrate, the Fifth Circuit concluded that Neutron Depot did not own the mark outright at any point when the infringement took place (*Neutron Depot, LLC v. Bankrate, Inc.*, January 29, 2020, per curiam).

Case date: 29 January 2020

Case number: No. 18-51021

Court: United States Court of Appeals, Fifth Circuit

A full summary of this case has been published on [Kluwer IP Law](#).

To make sure you do not miss out on regular updates from the Kluwer Trademark Blog, please [subscribe here](#).

Kluwer IP Law

The **2022 Future Ready Lawyer survey** showed that 79% of lawyers think that the importance of legal technology will increase for next year. With Kluwer IP Law you can navigate the increasingly global practice of IP law with specialized, local and cross-border information and tools from every preferred location. Are you, as an IP professional, ready for the future?

Learn how [Kluwer IP Law](#) can support you.


79% of the lawyers think that the importance of legal technology will increase for next year.

Drive change with Kluwer IP Law.

The master resource for Intellectual Property rights and registration.



2022 SURVEY REPORT
The Wolters Kluwer Future Ready Lawyer
Leading change



Experience how the renewed **Manual IP** enables you to work more efficiently



[Learn more →](#)



This entry was posted on Tuesday, February 11th, 2020 at 10:37 am and is filed under [Case law](#), [Infringement](#), [United States](#)

You can follow any responses to this entry through the [Comments \(RSS\)](#) feed. You can leave a response, or [trackback](#) from your own site.