

Kluwer Trademark Blog

Not all trees are magic: Julius Sämann loses before the General Court

Verena von Bomhard (BomhardIP) · Tuesday, February 25th, 2020



On 30 January 2020, the General Court of the European Union confirmed the Board of Appeal's decision rejecting the opposition brought by Julius Sämann against the EUTM application for the sign shown above, based (inter alia) on the silhouette of its "magic tree":



(Case no. T-559/19). The Court agreed with the Board of Appeal (which had in turn confirmed the EUIPO's first instance decision) that there were no likelihood of confusion and no risk of a "link" leading to misappropriation, between Julius Sämann's earlier rights to the "Magic Tree" and this device, even though it was applied for to cover also identical goods (i.e. air fragrancing preparations) as part of a wide list of goods in class 3 (inter alia). The signs were simply too different.

In particular, there was no visual similarity, and a phonetic comparison did not apply as both marks were merely figurative. While this had occasionally been seen differently in the GC case law, that could only apply where the device(s) would be "immediately associated with a single word". Given the deciduous nature of the tree device in the application and its various elements (roots, flowers, branches etc.), it was not correct to simply consider it a "tree" and conclude that because of that, it was phonetically similar to the earlier "tree".

The marks were also held not to refer to the same concept because Julius Sämann's device was the silhouette of a fir tree while the tree device in the opposed application was a deciduous tree or evoked the concept of a "tree of life".

It is yet to be seen whether this decision has an impact on Julius Sämann's enforcement strategy to contest later marks in class 3 that consist of or contain tree devices. Julius Sämann is currently opposing (first instance) inter alia the following device marks:



One wonders... which is in line with the German name of the "magic tree" of Julius Sämann: WUNDERBAUM.

To make sure you do not miss out on regular updates from the Kluwer Trademark Blog, please [subscribe here](#).

Kluwer IP Law


The **2022 Future Ready Lawyer survey** showed that 79% of lawyers think that the importance of legal technology will increase for next year. With Kluwer IP Law you can navigate the increasingly global practice of IP law with specialized, local and cross-border information and tools from every preferred location. Are you, as an IP professional, ready for the future?

Learn how **Kluwer IP Law** can support you.

79% of the lawyers think that the importance of legal technology will increase for next year.

Drive change with Kluwer IP Law.

The master resource for Intellectual Property rights and registration.



2022 SURVEY REPORT
The Wolters Kluwer Future Ready Lawyer
Leading change

Experience how the renewed **Manual IP** enables you to work more efficiently



[Learn more →](#)



This entry was posted on Tuesday, February 25th, 2020 at 4:40 pm and is filed under [The EU is an economic and political association of certain European countries as a unit with internal free trade and common external tariffs.](#)“>European Union, [EUTM](#)

You can follow any responses to this entry through the [Comments \(RSS\)](#) feed. You can leave a response, or [trackback](#) from your own site.

