
Kluwer Trademark Blog

Trademark case: Rampart Resources, Inc. v. Rampart/Wurth Holding, Inc., USA

Thomas K. Lauletta (Wolters Kluwer Legal & Regulatory US) · Tuesday, March 18th, 2025

The plaintiff failed to show a likelihood of success on the merits of its trademark violation suit.

The U.S. Court of Appeals for the Fifth Circuit upheld the district court's denial of a preliminary injunction against property management company Rampart/Wurth Holding filed by Rampart Resources, a land and real estate services company. The appellate court concluded that the lower court did not err in concluding that Rampart Resources did not prove a likelihood of success in its suit alleging that Rampart/Wurth infringed on its federally registered trademark. In so holding, the appellate court held that the district court did not err in its conclusion that the eight "digits of confusion" did not support a finding that there existed a substantial likelihood of confusion between the senior trademark of Rampart Resources and the mark of Rampart/Wurth (Rampart Resources, Inc. v. Rampart/Wurth Holding, Inc., No. 24-30111 (5th Cir. Feb. 24, 2025)).

Case date: 24 February 2025

Case number: No. 24-30111

Court: United States Court of Appeals, Fifth Circuit

A full summary of this case has been published on [Kluwer IP Law](#)

To make sure you do not miss out on regular updates from the Kluwer Trademark Blog, please [subscribe here](#).

2024 Future Ready Lawyer Survey Report

Legal innovation: Seizing the future or falling behind?

[Download your free copy →](#)

 Wolters Kluwer



This entry was posted on Tuesday, March 18th, 2025 at 1:30 pm and is filed under [\(Preliminary\) Injunction](#), [Case law](#), [Likelihood of confusion](#), [United States](#)

You can follow any responses to this entry through the [Comments \(RSS\) feed](#). You can leave a response, or [trackback](#) from your own site.