

USA: Oaklawn Jockey Club, Inc. v. Kentucky Downs, LLC, United States Court of Appeals, Sixth Circuit, No. 16-5582, 19 April 2017

Kluwer Trademark Blog

May 3, 2017

[Peter Reap \(Wolters Kluwer Legal & Regulatory US\)](#)

Please refer to this post as: Peter Reap, 'USA: Oaklawn Jockey Club, Inc. v. Kentucky Downs, LLC, United States Court of Appeals, Sixth Circuit, No. 16-5582, 19 April 2017', Kluwer Trademark Blog, May 3 2017, <http://trademarkblog.kluweriplaw.com/2017/05/03/usa-oaklawn-jockey-club-inc-v-kentucky-downs-llc-united-states-court-of-appeals-sixth-circuit-no-16-5582-19-april-2017/>

The federal district court in Bowling Green, Kentucky, correctly held that a horse-race gambling platform (the “System”) developed by defendant Exacta Systems and used by defendant Kentucky Downs at its horse-racing track, did not infringe the trademarks owned by several plaintiff owners of horse-racing tracks when it displayed information, including the names of the plaintiffs’ tracks, as the results of computer generated races, the U.S. Court of Appeals in Cincinnati has ruled. There was no use of the trademarks by the defendants or possibility of consumer confusion. Thus, the decision of the district court was affirmed (Oaklawn Jockey Club, Inc. v. Kentucky Downs, LLC, April 19, 2017, White, H.).

A full summary of this case has been published on [Kluwer IP Law](#).