

USA: In Re: Halo Leather Ltd., United States Court of Appeals, Federal Circuit, No. 17-1849, 13 June 2018

Kluwer Trademark Blog

June 26, 2018

Cheryl Beise (Wolters Kluwer Legal & Regulatory US)

Please refer to this post as: Cheryl Beise, 'USA: In Re: Halo Leather Ltd., United States Court of Appeals, Federal Circuit, No. 17-1849, 13 June 2018', Kluwer Trademark Blog, June 26 2018, <http://trademarkblog.kluweriplaw.com/2018/06/26/usa-re-halo-leather-ltd-united-states-court-appeals-federal-circuit-no-17-1849-13-june-2018/>

Substantial evidence supported the Trademark Trial and Appeal Board's finding that the mark "AQUAPEL" and design for leather and imitation leather hides, furniture covers, and various home goods was confusingly similar to the mark "AQUAPEL," registered in standard characters, for different types of home goods, the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit has held. The marks were confusingly similar and the goods were so highly related as to be expected to emanate from a single source (In Re: Halo Leather Ltd., June 13, 2018, per curiam). A full summary of this case has been published on [Kluwer IP Law](#).